Business Change Mandate (Including Budget Mandates) Proposal Number: B22

Title: Collaboration and realigning structures in Operations.

All information requested must be completed on the proposed mandate to enable the Cabinet to decide whether to proceed with the proposal.

Mandate Completed by	Roger Hoggins
Date	20/09/2015

How much savings will it generate and over what period? Estimated £30k from collaboration in 16/17 and £70k from realignment of duties to reduce professional/technical salary budget within Highways section.

Directorate & Service Area responsible Operations, Highways, Transport

Mandate lead(s)

HoS – R Hoggins, – Lead Officer – Highways – Transport – Richard Cope

Final mandate approved by Cabinet	Date:

1. Vision and Outcomes of the Mandate

Give a business context for the mandate. This must pick up on the vision and what the new / improved / reduced service will look like in the future including the anticipated experience of users. It must also consider any impact on the Council's key priorities and strategic outcomes. Similarly does it impact on service performance within the immediate service area or any impact on other services provided by the authority / any other providers. In doing so, the mandate must be tested against the equality impact assessment and sustainable development impact assessment and must consider impact in relation to the new Future Generations Bill.

What is the issue that the proposal is seeking to address?

This proposal seeks to introduce joint working/collaboration between Newport CC and Monmouthshire CC for the provision of Passenger Transport. In 15/16 MCC will provide Interim Management resources to NCC for the management of the NCC PTU but the longer term goal in 16/17 is to combine the two PTU's and thereby reduce management and administrative costs to both parties with a single establishment providing services for both authorities.

Along the same theme of reducing overheads (staffing costs) without the withdrawal of service, the reduction in capital available for highways projects will result in a reduction in the Highways projects team and a revamp of reporting lines to combine projects and infrastructure with traffic management and development teams.

What evidence have you got that this needs to be addressed?

The MTFP shows massive strain upon the revenue budgets for at least 3 years which is being addressed by expenditure cuts or income. Collaboration has been highlighted as one method to deliver cost efficiencies between authorities and the reduction in the need to rationalise under reducing budgets is an obvious outcome of budget being reprioritised with subsequent reductions in some service areas.

How will this proposal address this issue

The collaboration between NCC and MCC is seen as a pathfinder for greater collaboration across Gwent. The interim management is through circumstances as NCC sees several of its senior managers leaving at the same time but chief officers/heads of service believe it also offers an ideal opportunity to develop a long term combined unit which could then form the cornerstone of a Gwent based unit in the longer term. The internal combining of functions to reduce staff costs has been discussed informally amongst staff and the framework consultancy (Parsons Brinkerhoff) provides flexible resource to manage workloads in the future.

What will it look like when you have implemented the proposal

A Joint PTU reporting to both authorities and offering economies of scale and synergy to draw out any further cost savings/efficiencies that might be available through operational improvements/sharing routes etc. (as happens now to some extent). The internal collaboration will see two highways units combined into one with a reduced overall staffing compliment, but underwritten by consultancy support.

Expected positive impacts

No loss in service quality

Expected negative impacts

None directly from this proposal albeit the reduction in capital budget will impact upon highway infrastructure in the long term.

2. Savings proposed

Show how the budget mandate will make savings against the current service budget. This must cover each year implicated. This section must also cover any other efficiency that will arise from the mandate.

1	What savings and e	efficiencies are expe	ected to be achieved	?					
Service area	Current Budget Proposed Ca	Proposed Cash	Proposed non	T	arget yea	ır		Total Savings	
	£	Savings £	cash efficiencies - non £	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	proposed	
PTU collaboration		£30k	Optimising routes between authorities	30k				30k	
Combining Highway teams and withdrawal of professional/technical posts		£70k	Better use of staff resources but supplemented by consultancy support	70K				70k	

3. Options

Prior to the mandate being written, an options appraisal will have taken place. Summarise here the outcome of the Options considered and detail the rationale on why they were disregarded. (see options appraisal guide for further information)

Options	Reason why Option was not progressed	Decision Maker

•	MCC and NCC are already in discussions about collaboration and an Interim management arrangement will likely be in place during 15/16. This is an ideal stepping stone to full joint provision and does not preclude a Gwent wide arrangement being developed in the future	of	service	(NCC	and

4. Consultation

Have you undertaken any initial consultation on the idea(s)?						
Name	Organisation/ department	Date				
Operations mgt team. NCC officer		September '15				
colleagues						

Function	Date	Details of any changes made?
Department Management Team		
Other Service Contributing to / impacted		
Senior leadership team		
Select Committee		
Public or other stakeholders	Sept '15	Letter to NCC detailing the Interim agreement and laying T of R for a Joint arrangement assessment.
Cabinet (sign off to proceed)		

Will any further consultation be needed?					
Name	Organisation/ department	Date			
Staff/ unions		Oct '15			

5. Actions to deliver the mandate

Describe the key activities that will be undertaken to deliver the mandates and the action holders. This includes any actions contributed to by other services. Give the timescales to complete the work. This must also factor in any business activities that will need to be done differently or cease in order to achieve the mandate.

Action	Officer/ Service responsible	Timescale
Agree Interim Management proposals,	Heads of service and CO's - NCC	October
Assess joint PTU feasibility and benefits	and MCC	
Develop heads of terms for a joint arrangement and seek political support		
Implement		March '16
Combine highways teams and manage staffing implications	Head of service	February '16

6. Additional resource/ business needs

Describe any additional finance, resource and capability needed in order to carry out the proposed mandate successfully. For example new funding, expertise e.g. marketing and knowledge etc..

Any additional investment required	Where will the investment come from	Any other resource/ business need (non-financial)
		HR, accountancy and legal teams – NCC and MCC

7. Measuring performance on the mandate

How do you intend to measure the impact of the mandate? This could include: speed of service; quality of service; customer satisfaction; unit cost; overall cost. For advice on developing performance measures you can contact Policy and Performance Team, for advice on unit costs speak with your directorate accountant.

Focus- Budget / Process / Staff / Customer	Indicator	Actual 2016/17	Actual 2017/18	Actual 2018/19	Actual 2019/20	Target 2016/17	Target 2017/18	Target 2018/19	Target 2019/20
budget	Reduced overheads	30k							
Customer	Seamless transition with no service impact								
Staff	Reduction in establishment in PTU's and Highways projects	70k							
process	Potential increase ins consultancy support costs	Capital and scheme sensitive							

8. Key Risks and Issues

Are there any potential barriers and risks that will need to be managed in delivering the mandate, including any negative impacts identified in section 1 that need to be accounted for. Also, set out the steps that will be taken to mitigate these. The risks should be scored in accordance with the council's policy.

	Strategic/	Reason why	Risk Assessment		ent		Post
Barrier or Risk	Operational	identified (evidence)	Likelihood	Impact	Overall Level	Mitigating Actions	mitigation risk level
PTU provides high profile front line services and inherent with change is risk	operational	Combining a single service across two authorities requires joint decision making and bureaucracy.	medium	high	high	Clear reporting lines and understanding of roles, reporting lines. Simple dispute and reporting processes to correct problems quickly	low
Reduced internal staff places greater stress upon those remaining	operational	Reducing budgets does not reduce 'demand' within highways and staff will have to manage more complaints and enquiries from members and staff	high	medium	medium	Better processes to manage enquiries and responses to enquiries. Clear messages to members of priorities in new financial climate.	medium

9. Assumptions

Describe any assumptions made that underpin the justification for the option.

Assumption	Reason why assumption is being made (evidence)	Decision Maker

10. Monitoring the budget mandate

The budget mandates must be monitored through directorate budget monitoring. This will lead into corporate budget monitoring. In addition the action plan, performance measures and the risk assessment must be transferred into the service plans for the business area in order to monitor and challenge the delivery of the budget mandate, including the savings being achieved and the level of impact.

11. Evaluation

It is important to evaluate the impact of the mandate once it has been fully delivered to know whether it has successfully achieved what it set out to do and to ensure that findings can be used to inform future work.

Planned Evaluation Date	Who will complete the evaluation?				